



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/008,472 11/09/2001		David Hohl	LIFE052	5648	
24353	7590 12/14/2005		EXAMINER		
	BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE			LIANG, REGINA	
SUITE 200	1011 1 11 1 21 1 0 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303			2674		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/008,472	HOHL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Regina Liang	2674		

	LAMITHIE	Airoille					
	Regina Liang	2674					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
		<b>6</b> 0 1 141 1 4					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	-	jected claims.					
4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>37-45</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
		Regina Liang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2674					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner disagrees with applicant's argument. Applicant's remarks that "what is not taught in Hoeksma is that each hard key has both a primary alphanumeric symbol and a set of second ary alphanumeric symbols" are not persuasive. Jasinski teaches each hard key (17a in Fig. 1) has both a primary alphanumeric symbol (numeral "3") and a set of secondary alphanumeric symbols (alpha characters "GHI"). The combination of Jasinski and Hoeksma teaches that a plurality of hard keys and a plurality of softkeys are on a keypad and that the actuation of a first hand key changes the soft key selections to correspond with a subset of selections of the hard key selected as claimed, see the Final Office Action..